

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/745,730	KOBAYASHI, YOSHIKAZU
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert C. Scheibel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael E. Whitham (Reg. No. 32,635).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2007

**Time:** 4:20 PM EDT

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 5-10-07  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the phrase "the table" in these claims was potentially indefinite as there are two recitations of "a table" to which it could refer. Applicant agreed to amend the claims as indicated in the enclosed Examiner's Amendment to overcome this issue..